

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

501 March 18, 1904

5. Clean away all discharges from the nose, throat, or bowels of a plague patient which soil his clothes or bedding or those of other people.

6. Do not shut up sleeping rooms at night, but allow plenty of fresh air to come in.

7. Wash all clothings and dry them in the sun with beddings, etc., regularly.

8. Flush all drains and privies at least twice daily.

FREDERICK PEARSE, M. D., Special Health Officer.

February 15, 1904.

Report from Bombay—Blood examination in febrile cases—Data to be collected regarding the personnel and dwellings in plague-infected districts of Bombay.

Acting Asst. Surg. Edward H. Hume reports, February 20, as follows:

I have the honor to send herewith an abstract of a paper recently read before the Bombay Medical and Physical Society by Mr. A. Powell, surgeon in charge of the police hospital here. It relates to the blood examination of over 3,400 febrile cases, seen in the last two and one-half years. Mr. Powell is regarded as the authority in this

district on all matters pertaining to the pathology of blood.

As I have already reported to you, the government of Bombay has asked the director of the plague research laboratory to extend to me facilities for the study of infectious diseases, and, as further reported, Doctor Haffkine has suggested that my work be done outside the laboratory, following up the investigations made last year by Maj. M. B. Bannerman regarding the efficacy of disinfection, etc., of plagueinfected chawls. I made application to Dr. J. A. Turner for permission to make these observations in company with the divisional health officer of some infected district, and I inclose a copy of his letter granting me the privilege asked. I have seen Dr. Sorab C. Hormusji the health officer of a large district in the northern part of Bombay, and have arranged for the observations. This special district, Parel, was chosen because it included the badly infected chawls or tenements which were specially studied last year by Major Bannerman, and because it seemed wisest to multiply observations within one district rather than to make fewer notes in wider areas.

In company with Doctor Haffkine I have outlined a list of data to be collected in making these visits of observation, and I inclose here-

with a copy of this list.

At the further suggestion of Doctor Haffkine, I have received a second inoculation with plague prophylactic prior to commencing the investigation. My first inoculation, done on Deccember 31, 1903, was of 1.5 cm³, a half dose. Yesterday I received 3 cm³, the full dose.

Respectfully,

EDWARD H. HUME, Acting Assistant Surgeon.

The blood examination in 3,413 febrile cases in Bombay. By A. Powell, Police Surgeon.

Parasites were found in the blood of 2,652 of the 3,413 cases, distributed as follows: Spirillum of relapsing fever
Plague bacilli (117 cases examined). 15 Filaria nocturna with fever

March 18, 1904 502

Of the remaining 761 cases, whose blood showed no parasites, other clinical methods led to a definite diagnosis in 551, leaving 210 cases where the cause of the fever was uncertain. Most of them were probably malarial. The 2,542 malarial cases showed parasites as follows:

Quartan:	
Simple	29
Double Quartan and tertian Quartan and aestivo-autumnal	2
Quartan and tertian	6
Quartan and aestivo-autumnal	4
-	
Total	41
=	
Tertian:	
Simple Double	1,144
Double	175
-	
Total	1,319
=	
Aestivo-autumnal:	
Simple Aestivo-autumnal and tertian	1, 125
Aestivo-autumnal and tertian	57
<u>-</u>	
Total	1.182

The number of double tertian infections is probably understated, for the age of the tertian parasites was not always studied when the absence of the æstivo-autumnal type was determined. It is also likely that tertian parasites were present in more of the æstivo-autumnal infections than is recorded.

It is the rule at the Police Hospital never to give quinine until malarial parasites are actually seen. The degree of success in finding parasites is due to an agreement with the view of Christophers and Stephens, that the large mononuclear leucocytes are considerably increased in number in malaria. If, after ten minutes' search in a stained specimen, no parasites had been seen, but the large mononuclears were found increased, the search was continued. In cases where no parasites were found at all the leucocyte count often gave information which led to the detection of pneumonia, internal abscess, filariasis, etc.

The seasonal prevalence of malarial parasites.—There is no seasonal prevalence of the various types in Bombay, such as is reported from Italy, America, etc. The cause of this is perhaps to be found in the fact that Bombay is a low-lying, badly drained city with a moist, equable temperature and an abundant artificial water supply, for the surplus of which there are no efficient drains. The result is the presence of tanks, ponds, fountains, and puddles which allow of the continuous breeding of mosquitoes at all seasons.

The following table shows the seasonal distribution of the malarial parasites as seen during two years only:

Month.	Quartan.	Tertian.	Æstivo- autum- nal.	Total.
January February March April May June July August September October November December	1 1 2 3 9 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	74 50 69 59 72 64 132 140 113 137 146 108	77 61 50 60 60 72 87 82 88 130 139 113	152 112 121 122 141 142 221 224 203 269 285 223

Taking the totals in half-yearly periods, it is seen that there were 790 cases from January to June, and 1,425 from July to December; i. e., the benign and the malignant (i. e., æstivo-autumnal) cases increase at the same seasons, and the term "æstivo-autumnal" is therefore inappropriate in Bombay. The marked absence of fatal cases—1,186 consecutive ones being treated without a death—indicates also the inappropriateness of the term "malignant."

503March 18, 1904

Comparing the half-yearly totals with those in the Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, the facts are as follows:

Locality.	Half-year.	Quartan.	Tertian.	Æstivo- autumnal.	Total.
Police hospital, Bombay Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore	JanJune	22	388	380	790
	July-Dec	10	776	639	1,425
	JanJune	1	112	8	125
	July-Dec	4	1, 226	191	1,421

Two cases with typhoid fever, giving a positive serum reaction, showed at the same time malarial parasites.

> MUNICIPALITY OF BOMBAY, PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT, Bombay, February 10, 1904.

Sir: In compliance with the request personally made to me by you to-day, I have directed Dr. Sorab C. Hormusji, divisional health officer, No. 3, to give you facilities in continuing the investigations into the results of disinfections of plague-infected chawls. You will work in cooperation with the health department, and furnish me with the detailed results of your investigation.

I have the honor to be, sir, your most obedient servant,

J. A. TURNER, Executive Health Officer.

Dr. E. H. HUME, B. A., M. D.

Data to be collected regarding the personnel and dwellings in plague-infected districts of Bombay:

A. The personnel:

I. General data—

Chawl; room number; name; age; sex; date of attack; of death; whether removed to hospital.

II. Previous history-

Whether inoculated.

Where exposed?

Length of incubation?

Onset.

Relation to previous cases in same room.

III. Contacts-

How many.

Related to case.

Subsequent abode. (Left, stayed in same room, another room, slept on veranda.)

Whether inoculated after occurrence of case.

Subsequent attack?

B. The dwellings:

I. Room-

Ventilation and drainage.

Number of occupants.

Number of cases previously: This year; other years.

At what interval before present case?

Ever more than one case at a time?

When disinfected: Before present case? After it?

Presence of rats.

II. Disinfection-

Number of present observation.

When previously done? How often?

Effect on reoccurrences; soon, long, never, after case.

Effect of disinfecting alternate rooms in a badly infected chawl.

III. Chawl-

Plan-description.

Number of occupants.

Relationship of infected rooms:

a. In time.

b. In distance.

c. In personal communication.